arXiv:1305.0102v1 [math.DG] 1 May 2013

Invariance of Finiteness of K-area under Surgery

Yoshiyasu Fukumoto

Abstract

K-area is an invariant for Riemannian manifolds introduced by Gromov as an obstruction to the existence of positive scalar curvature. However in general it is difficult to determine whether K-area is finite or not. though the definition of K-area is quite natural. In this paper, we study how the invariant changes under surgery.

Introduction

The notion of K-area was introduced by Gromov [Gr96]. It is an invariant for Riemannian manifolds with values in $(0, +\infty]$. Roughly, K-area(M) measures how small C^0 curvature norms can be achieved for "non-trivial" vector bundles over a Riemannian manifold M. Here a "non-trivial" vector bundle E means a vector bundle with non-zero Chern numbers. Finiteness of K-area has deep relationship with the existence of positive scalar curvature. The following theorem was proved by Gromov using the relative index theorem [Gr-La83].

Theorem 0.1 ([Gr96])**.** *Let M be an even dimensional complete spin Riemannian manifold. If scalar curvature* Sc *of M satisfies* $\inf \mathrm{Sc} > \varepsilon^2$, *then* $\text{K-area}(M) \leq c\varepsilon^{-2}$ *where c is a constant depending on the dimension of M.*

In particular a complete spin manifold with $\text{K-area}(M) = \infty$ *does not admit a Riemannian metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature.*

Even though both notions of scalar curvature and K-area require Riemannian metrics, finiteness of K-area on a compact manifold depends only on its homotopy type. Hence infiniteness of K-area is a homotopical obstruction to the existence of positive scalar curvature.

In this paper we verify the following.

Theorem 0.2. *Let M be an oriented even dimensional Riemannian manifold with $p + q = \dim(M)$. Let $M^\sharp$ be a manifold obtained by p-surgery for $q \neq 2$. If* $\text{K-area}(M) < \infty$, *then* $\text{K-area}(M^\sharp) < \infty$.

As a special case:

Corollary 0.3. *Let M_1 and M_2 be oriented even dimensional Riemannian manifolds of the same dimension ≥ 4. Let $M_1 \sharp M_2$ denote the connected sum of M_1 and M_2. If both* $\text{K-area}(M_1)$ *and* $\text{K-area}(M_2)$ *are finite, then* $\text{K-area}(M_1 \sharp M_2)$ *is also finite.*

On the other hand the converse is easy to verify.

Lemma 0.4. *Let M_1, M_2, and $M_1 \sharp M_2$ be as above. If either M_1 or M_2 has infinite* K-area, *then* $\text{K-area}(M_1 \sharp M_2) = \infty$.

In fact, if M_1 has infinite K-area then there exists a "non-trivial" vector bundle E over M_1 with small C^0 curvature norm. Then we can construct another vector bundle over $M_1 \sharp M_2$ by extending E trivially onto M_2 side.

We remark that the main theorem is analogous to the following.

Proposition 0.5 ([Gr-La 80]). *Let M be a compact manifold which carries a Riemannian metric of positive scalar curvature. Then any manifold obtained by surgeries in codimension ≥ 3 also carries a metric of positive scalar curvature.*

The proof of our main theorem is rather different. The idea of the above proposition is that $S^{q-1} \times N$ admits a Riemannian metric of positive scalar curvature for $q \geq 3$. On the other hand, we use a property that the cartesian product of spheres at connecting region is symply connected. Any almost flat vector bundles over compact simply connected manifolds are trivial, which will be used to compute finiteness of K-area.

1 Definition and a fundamental lemma

Let $E \to M$ be a Hermitian vector bundle over a Riemannian manifold M, and let A be a section of $\bigwedge^* TM \otimes \mathrm{End}(E)$. Let us define

$$\|A\| := \sup_{\substack{\xi \in \bigwedge^*(TM) \\ \|\xi\|=1}} |A(\xi)|_{op} \tag{1.1}$$

where $|A(\xi)|_{op}$ denote the operator norm of $A(\xi) \in \mathrm{End}(E)$.

Let $K^\times(M)$ denote the isomorphism classes of Hermitian vector bundles equipped with compatible connections $E = (E, \nabla)$ over M, which satisfy the following conditions.
(i) (E, ∇) are isomorphic to the trivial bundles $\mathbb{C}^r$ equipped with flat connections outside compact subsets of M.
(ii) (E, ∇) have a non-zero Chern number. i.e. there exsist a (multivariable) polynomial p such that

$$\int_M p(c_1(E), c_2(E), \cdots) \neq 0 \tag{1.2}$$

where $c_k(E) \in H^*_c(M)$ are the Chern classes of $E = (E, \nabla)$.

Definition 1.1 ([Gr96]). *Let M be an even dimensional Riemannian manifold and let $R = R^E = R^{E,\nabla}$ denote the curvature tensor of (E, ∇). Then K-area of M is defined by*

$$\text{K-area}(M) := \sup_{(E,\nabla) \in K^\times(M)} \frac{1}{\|R^{E,\nabla}\|} \tag{1.3}$$

K-area$(M) = \infty$ if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a vector bundle $(E, \nabla) \in K^\times(M)$ with a small curvature $\|R\| < \varepsilon$.

The following fundamental lemma is useful.

Lemma 1.2. *Let M and M' be Riemannian manifolds and let $f\colon M \to M'$ be a smooth Lipschitz map of non-zero degree which is proper or constant outside a compact subset in M. Then* K-area$(M) \geq c^{-2}$K-area(M') *where c is the Lipschitz constant of f.*

Lemma1.2 implies that finiteness or infiniteness of K-area(M) is independent of the deformation of Riemannian metrics on compact subsets in M. In particular, the finiteness or infiniteness of K-area is homotopy invariant of compact manifolds. This is stated in [[Gr96]] without proof. We give a proof for convenience.

Proof. Set K-area$(M') = \frac{1}{a}$. If K-area$(M') = \infty$, take $a = 0$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $E = (E, \nabla) \in K^\times(M')$ with $\|R^E\| < a + \varepsilon$. Let p be a polynomial satisfying $\int_{M'} p(c_1(E), c_2(E), \cdots) \neq 0$. Consider the vector bundle $f^*E \to M$ equipped with the induced connection $f^*\nabla$. Since f

is proper or constant outside a compact subset, f^*E is isomorphic to a flat bundle $\mathbb{C}^r$ outside a compact subset. Moreover,

$$\int_M p(c_1(f^*E), c_2(f^*E), \cdots) = \deg(f) \int_{M'} p(c_1(E), c_2(E), \cdots) \neq 0 \tag{1.4}$$

Hence, $(f^*E, f^*\nabla) \in K^\times(M)$. On the other hand

$$R^{f^*E}(u \wedge v) = R^E(f_*(u \wedge v)) \tag{1.5}$$

$$\|R^{f^*E}\| \leq \|f_*(u \wedge v)\| \|R^E\| \leq c^2(a + \varepsilon) \tag{1.6}$$

$$\text{K-area}(M) \geq \frac{1}{\|R^{f^*E}\|} \geq \frac{1}{c^2(a+\varepsilon)} \tag{1.7}$$

Therefore K-area$(M) \geq c^{-2}$K-area(M') □

Here, we give some examples of K-area.

Examples 1.3.
(1) Let S^{2m} *denote even dimensional spheres* K-area$(S^{2m}) < \infty$*, which follows from theorem 0.1.*
(2) If M *be an oriented even dimensional closed simply connected manifold, then* K-area$(M) < \infty$*. Later in lemma 2.2, every vector bundle* (E, ∇) *over a closed simply connected manifold with sufficiently small curvature* $\|R^{E,\nabla}\| < \delta$ *is topologically trivial, which implies that all Chern numbers of* E *are zero. Hence* K-area$(M) < \frac{1}{\delta}$*.* K-area$(S^n) < \infty$ *can be verified also from this.*
(3) K-area$(T^{2m}) = \infty$ *where* T^{2m} *denote even dimensional tori. It follows from theorem 0.1 that* T^{2m} *and hence* T^{2m-1} *do not admit Riemannian metrics of positive scalar curvature.*

proof of (3). Generally let $M = (M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold eqiupped with a metric g. Observe that K-area$(M, c^2 g) = c^2$K-area(M, g) by the preceding lemma 1.2.

On the other hand let $\pi\colon \tilde{M} \to M$ be a finite-fold covering space of M which is trivial outside a compact subset. Then K-area$(\tilde{M})$ = K-area(M). In fact for $E = (E, \nabla) \in K^\times(\tilde{M})$, we can take $\pi_! E \in K^\times$ whose fiber is

$$\pi_! E_x = \bigoplus_{\tilde{x} \in \pi^{-1}(x)} E_{\tilde{x}} \tag{1.8}$$

So we can verify that $\|R^E\| \geq \|R^{\pi_! E}\|$ and hence K-area$(M) \geq$ K-area$(\tilde{M})$. Conversly, $\pi\colon \tilde{M} \to M$ satisfies the hypothesis of the preceding lemma 1.2 with Lipschitz constant $c = 1$ so K-area$(\tilde{M}) \geq$ K-area(M). Therefore K-area$(\tilde{M})$ = K-area(M).

Now consider an $2m$-dimensional tori equipped with flat metrics g_0 which are induced by $T^{2m} = \mathbb{R}^{2m}/\mathbb{Z}^{2m}$. There exsist 2^{2m}-fold coverings $\pi\colon (T^{2m}, 4g_0) \to (T^{2m}, g_0)$. Hence K-area$(T^{2m}, g_0)$ = K-area$(T^{2m}, 4g_0)$ = 4K-area(T^{2m}, g_0), which implies K-area$(T^{2m}, g_0) = \infty$. □

2 Surgery

Let M_1 and M_2 be Riemannian manifolds and let $M_1 \sharp M_2$ denote the connected sum of M_1 and M_2 equipped with a Riemannian metric which coincide with the original metric of $M_1 \sqcup M_2$ outside a compact neighborhood of the connecting region.

Example 2.1. *Let* M *be a 2m dimensional closed sipn manifold. Then* $T^{2m} \sharp M$ *does not admit a Riemannian metric of positive scalar curvature. In fact* K-area$(T^{2m}) = \infty$ *implies* K-area$(T^{2m} \sharp M) = \infty$ *and apply theorem 0.1.*

proof of lemma 0.4. Suppose that K-area$(M_1) = \infty$. Write $M_1 \sharp M_2$ as $(M_1 \setminus D^n) \cup (M_2 \setminus D^n)$. There exsit a smooth map $f\colon (M_1 \sharp M_2) \to M_1$ which satisfies the followings;

$f(M_2 \setminus D^n) = \{x\}$ where x is the center of $D^n \subset M_1$.
$f = \mathrm{id}$ outside a compact neighborhood of $D^n \subset M_1$.
$\deg f = 1$.

Therefore K-area$(M_1 \sharp M_2) \geq c^{-2}$K-area$(M_1) = \infty$ where c is the Lipschitz constant of f. □

However, the converse of lemma 0.4 is not trivial. The following two lemmata are used to verify theorem 0.2.

Lemma 2.2. *Let N be a compact simply connected manifold and take $E = (E, \nabla) \in K^{\times}(N)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|R^E\| < \delta$, there exists a global orthonormal frame $\bar{e} = \{\bar{e^i}\}_{i=1}^r$ for E satisfying $\|\omega\| < \varepsilon$ where ω is the connection 1-form of (E, ∇) with respect to $\bar{e}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\|R^E\| < \delta$. Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ be a finite open covering of N equipped with geodesic coordinates whose centers are p_α. Fix an orthonormal basis $e_{\alpha_0} = \{e^i_{\alpha_0}\}_{i=1}^r$ for $E|_{p_{\alpha_0}}$. Let $e_\alpha = \{e^i_\alpha\}_{i=1}^r$ be an orthonormal basis for $E|_{p_\alpha}$ obtained by the parallel transportation of e_{α_0} along γ_0^α, one of the minimal geodesics connecting p_{α_0} and p_α. Extend e_α on each V_α by the parallel transportation along the geodesic $t \mapsto \exp_{p_\alpha}(tv)$ where v is an unit tangent vector at p_α.

Let ω_α be the connection 1-form with respect to e_α on V_α.

For $x \in V_\alpha$ let γ_α^x be the (unique) geodesic connecting p_α and x and for a piece-wise smooth curve γ let T_γ be the parallel transportaion along γ. Take $x \in U_\alpha$ and $X \in T_xM$. By the definition of e_α, $e_\alpha(\exp_x(tX)) = T_{\gamma_\alpha^{\exp_x(tX)}} T_{\gamma_\alpha^x}^{-1} e_\alpha(x)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_X e_\alpha(x) &= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(T^{-1}_{\exp_x(tX)} e_\alpha(\exp_x(tX)) - e_\alpha(x) \right) \\
&= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left(T^{-1}_{\exp_x(tX)} T_{\gamma_\alpha^{\exp_x(tX)}} T^{-1}_{\gamma_\alpha^x} - \mathrm{id} \right) e_\alpha(x) \qquad (2.1) \\
\|\nabla_X e_\alpha(x)\| &\leq \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_{D_t} \|R\| \qquad (2.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where D_t is a 2-dimensional disk whose boundary is the closed curve $\exp_x(tX)^{-1} \gamma_\alpha^{\exp_x(tX)} (\gamma_\alpha^x)^{-1}$. Since area$(D_t) = O(t) \quad (t \to 0)$, we have

$$
|\nabla e_\alpha| \leq c_1 \delta \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \|\omega_\alpha\| \leq c_1 \delta \qquad (2.3)
$$

Let $\psi_{\beta\alpha}\colon V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \to U(r)$ denote the transition functions, i.e., $\psi_{\beta\alpha} e_\alpha = e_\beta$. By the definition of e_α, $\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) = T_\gamma$ where $\gamma = \gamma_\beta^x \gamma_0^\beta (\gamma_\alpha^x \gamma_0^\alpha)^{-1}$. Since N is simply connected, There exists a 2-dimensional disk $D \subset N$ whose boundary is γ. By the compactness, we can take D so that area$(D) < c_2$ where c_2 is a constant depending on N and $\{V_\alpha\}$. Then we have

$$
\|\psi_{\beta\alpha} - \mathrm{id}\| \leq \int_D \|R\| < c_2 \delta \qquad (2.4)
$$

By $\psi_{\beta\alpha} e_\alpha = e_\beta$, we have $\mathrm{d}\psi_{\beta\alpha} \otimes e_\alpha + \psi_{\beta\alpha} \nabla e_\alpha = \nabla e_\beta$. Hence by (2.3)

$$
\|\mathrm{d}\psi_{\beta\alpha}\| < 2c_1 \delta \qquad (2.5)
$$

As (2.4) we can set $\psi_{\beta\alpha} = \exp(v_{\beta\alpha})$ for some $v_{\beta\alpha}\colon V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \to \mathfrak{u}(r)$ using $\exp\colon \mathfrak{u}(r) \to U(r)$. Remark that (2.4) and (2.5) implies

$$
\|v_{\beta\alpha}\| < c_2' \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathrm{d}v_{\beta\alpha}\| < 2c_1 \delta \qquad (2.6)
$$

There exist open subsets W_α and compact subsets K_α such that $W_\alpha \subset K_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$ and $\bigcup_\alpha W_\alpha = N$. Let $\{\rho_\alpha, \rho_\beta, 1-\rho_\alpha-\rho_\beta\}$ be a partition of unity associated to $\{V_\alpha, V_\beta, N \setminus (K_\alpha \cup K_\beta)\}$. ($\rho_\alpha + \rho_\beta \equiv 1$ on $K_\alpha \cup K_\beta$.) Construct an orthonormal frame $e_{(2)}$ on $K_\alpha \cup K_\beta$ as follows;

$$e_{(2)} = \begin{cases} (\exp(\rho_\beta v_{\beta\alpha}))e_\alpha, & \text{on} K_\alpha \\ (\exp(\rho_\alpha v_{\alpha\beta}))e_\beta, & \text{on} K_\beta \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

$e_{(2)}$ is well defined. In fact on $K_\alpha \cap K_\beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\rho_\alpha v_{\alpha\beta})e_\beta &= \exp(\rho_\alpha(-v_{\beta\alpha}))\exp(v_{\beta\alpha})e_\alpha \\ &= \exp((1-\rho_\beta)(-v_{\beta\alpha}) + v_{\beta\alpha})e_\alpha = \exp(\rho_\beta v_{\beta\alpha})e_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

There is a constant $c_3 > 0$ such that $|\mathrm{d}\rho_\alpha| < c_3, |\mathrm{d}\rho_\beta| < c_3$. Hence by (2.3) and (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla e_{(2)}\| &= \|\mathrm{d}(\exp(\rho_\beta v_{\beta\alpha})) \otimes e_\alpha + \exp(\rho_\beta v_{\beta\alpha})\nabla e_\alpha\| \\ &\leq |\mathrm{d}\rho_\beta|\|v_{\beta\alpha}\| + \rho_\beta\|\mathrm{d}v_{\beta\alpha}\| + \|\nabla e_\alpha\| \qquad < c_4\delta \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

This means the connection 1-form $\omega_{(2)}$ associated to $e_{(2)}$ satisfies $\|\omega_{(2)}\| < c_4\delta$.

Next, choose another open subset V_γ, set $V_{(2)} := V_\alpha \cup V_\beta$, $K_{(2)} := K_\alpha \cup K_\beta$ and let $\psi_{\gamma(2)} : K_\gamma \cap K_{(2)} \to U(r)$ denote the transition function i.e., $e_\gamma = \psi_{\gamma(2)}e_{(2)}$.

Remark that $\mathrm{id} = \psi_{\gamma(2)}\exp(\rho_\beta v_{\beta\alpha})\psi_{\alpha\gamma}$ implies $\|\psi_{\gamma(2)} - \mathrm{id}\| < c_5\delta$ and $\|\mathrm{d}\psi_{\gamma(2)}\| < c_5\delta$. Therefore, we can write $\psi_{\gamma(2)} = \exp(v_{\gamma(2)})$ for some $v_{\gamma(2)}$ satisfying $\|v_{\gamma(2)}\| < c_5'\delta$ and $\|\mathrm{d}v_{\gamma(2)}\| < c_5'\delta$.

We can employ the similar argument to construct an orthonormal frame $e_{(3)}$ on $K_\gamma \cup K_{(3)}$ satisfying $\|\nabla e_{(3)}\| < c_6\delta$. Namely, let $\{\rho_\gamma, \rho_{(2)}, 1-\rho_\gamma-\rho_{(2)}\}$ be a partition of unity associated to $\{V_\gamma, V_{(2)}, N \setminus (K_\gamma \cup K_{(2)})\}$, and define

$$e_{(3)} = \begin{cases} (\exp(\rho_{(2)}v_{(2)\gamma}))e_\gamma, & \text{on} K_\gamma \\ (\exp(\rho_\gamma v_{\gamma(2)}))e_{(2)}, & \text{on} K_{(2)} \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

It satisfies $\|\nabla e_{(3)}\| < c_6\delta$.

Repeat the above argument to construct a global orthonormal frame $\bar{e}$ for E which satisfies $\|\nabla\bar{e}\| < c\delta$. It means $\|\omega\| < c\delta$ where ω is the connection 1-form with respect to $\bar{e}$. Though c depends on N, it dosen't depend on (E, ∇). ☐

Remark 2.3. *The proof of lemma 2.2 also holds if N is not connected but each connected component is simply connected by applying the arguments on each connected component.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold with a simply connected boundary $N = \partial M$, and let $E_0 = (E_0, \nabla_0)$ be a Hemitian vector bundle over M equipped with a compatible connection. Suppose that a neighborhood of ∂M is equipped with a product metric of $(-2,2] \times N$ and that the connection ∇_0 restricted to $(-2,2] \times N$ is invariant under the translation.*

Let $M_{(-2,a]}$ denote $(M \setminus (-2,2] \times N) \cup ((-2,a] \times N)$. For instance the original M can be denoted by $M_{(-2,2]}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that if $\|R^{E_0}\| < \delta$, there exsit a vector bundle (E, ∇) over $M_{(-2,6]}$ satisfying the followings;
(i) $\|R^E\| < \varepsilon$.
(ii) The restriction of (E, ∇) to $M_{(-2,2]}$ is isomorphic to (E_0, ∇_0).
(iii) (E, ∇) is trivial and flat on $(4,6] \times N$.

Proof. Choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small depending on ε. $\|R^{E_0}\| < \delta$ for sufficiently small $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon_0)$. Applying the preceding lemma to $E_0|_{\{0\}\times N}$, we obtain a global orthonormal frame e for $E_0|_{\{0\}\times N}$ such that the connection 1-form ω_0 with respect to e satisfies $\|\omega_0\| < \varepsilon_0$. Let E be a trivial Hermitian vector bundle without a connection on $M_{(-2,6]}$ which is an extension of E_0. Extending

e we obtain a orthonormal frame for E denoted also by e. Now compose a connection 1-form ω with respect to e on on $(-2,6]\times N$ by

$$\omega|_{(t,y)}=\chi(t)\omega_0|_y \tag{2.11}$$

where χ is a smooth function on $(-2,6]$ satisfying

$$\chi(t)\begin{cases}\equiv 1, & t<2\\ \equiv 0, & t>4\end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

$$0\leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\chi}{\mathrm{d}t}\leq 1 \tag{2.13}$$

Since $\omega|_{(t,y)}=\omega_0|_y$ on $(-2,2)\times N$, the new connection denoted by ∇ can be patched with ∇_0.

$$\begin{aligned}\|R^{E,\nabla}\| &= \|\omega\wedge\omega+\mathrm{d}\omega\|\\ &= \|\chi(t)^2\omega_0\wedge\omega_0+\mathrm{d}\chi(t)\wedge\omega_0+\chi(t)\wedge\mathrm{d}\omega_0\|\\ &\leq |\chi(t)|\|\omega_0\wedge\omega_0+\mathrm{d}\omega_0\|+|\chi(t/k)^2-\chi(t)|\|\omega_0\wedge\omega_0\|+\|\mathrm{d}\chi\wedge\omega_0\|\\ &\leq \|R^{E_0,\nabla_0}\|+\|\omega_0\|^2+\|\omega_0\|\\ &\leq \delta+\varepsilon_0^2+\varepsilon_0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Hence taking ε_0 depending on ε and δ depending on ε_0 sufficiently small, we obtain $\|R^{E,\nabla}\|<\varepsilon$. Moreover $\omega|_{(t,y)}=0$ for $t>4$ means that (E,∇) is flat on $(4,6]\times N$. ☐

Definition 2.5. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold, and $n=p+q=\dim(M)$. Fix an inclusion $\varphi\colon S^p\times D^q\hookrightarrow M$. Define another (smooth) manifold $M^\sharp$ as follows;*

$$M^\sharp:=(M\setminus\varphi(S^p\times D^q))\cup_{\partial(\varphi(S^p\times D^q))}(D^{p+1}\times S^{q-1}) \tag{2.15}$$

Remark that $\partial(S^p\times D^q)\cong S^p\times S^{q-1}\cong\partial(D^{p+1}\times S^{q-1})$. $M^\sharp$ is called a manifold obtained by p-surgery, or surgery in codimension q, along $\varphi\colon S^p\times D^q\hookrightarrow M$.

We assume that $M^\sharp$ is equipped with a Riemannian metric which coincides with the original one outside a compact neighborhood of $(D^{p+1}\times S^{q-1})\subset M^\sharp$.

proof of theorem 0.2. Since the finiteness of K-area is invariant under deformations of Riemannian metrics on compact subsets, we may assume that the "connecting region", the neighborhood of $\partial(D^{p+1}\times S^{q-1})\subset M^\sharp$ is isometritc to $S^p\times(-4,4)\times S^{q-1}$ equipped with a canonical Riemannan metric.

Let $E_0=(E_0,\nabla_0)$ be a Hermitian vector bundle equipped with a compatible connection. It is sufficient to verify that $\|R^{E_0}\|<\delta$ implies that all Chern numbers of E_0 are zero for sufficiently small $\delta>0$.

Let $f\colon M^\sharp\to M^\sharp$ be a smooth Lipschitz map such that $f=\mathrm{id}$ outside $S^p\times(-4,4)\times S^{q-1}$, $f(x,t,y)=(x,0,y)$ for $|t|<2$, and $\|f_*\|<2$. Consider f^*E_0, the pull-back of E_0 by f equipped with the induced connection $f^*\nabla_0$. Then $\|R^{f^*E_0}\|\leq 2\delta$ and the connection is invariant under the translation near the cylindrical boundary. Since $\deg(f)=1$ the Chern numbers of f^*E_0 are equal to those of E.

Cut $M^\sharp$ along $S^p\times\{0\}\times S^{q-1}$ and remove $D^{p+1}\times S^{q-1}$ component. Let the resulting manifold be denoted by M'.

In the case of $p\neq 1$, we can apply to $f^*E_0|_{M'}$ the preceding lemma 2.4 to obtain a vector bundle $E=(E,\nabla)$ over $M'_{(-2,6]}$ with $\|R^E\|<\varepsilon$ which is trivial and flat on $S^p\times(4,6]\times S^{q-1}$.

Even in the case of $p=1$, we claim that there exist a such extension of the vector bundle. In fact, consider the two copies of removed region and the vector bundle $f^*E_0\to(D^2\times S^{n-2})$

and reverse the orientation of one of them. We can patch them together along the boundary for the invariance of the connection of f^*E_0 under the translation near the cylindrical boundary. Since the resulting manifold, the double of $D^2 \times S^{n-2}$, is homeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^{n-2}$, by lemma 2.2 there exists a global orthonormal frame e for the resulting vector bundle over $S^2 \times S^{n-2}$ such that the connection 1-form ω_0 with respect to e satisfies $\|\omega_0\| < \varepsilon_0$. Hence there exists a such orthonormal frame for the restriction of f^*E_0 onto a neighborhood of $\partial M'$. Then we can construct a vector bundle $E = (E, \nabla)$ over $M'_{(-2,6]}$ with $\|R^E\| < \varepsilon$ which is trivial and flat on $S^p \times (4, 6] \times S^{q-1}$ in the same way as the proof of lemma 2.4 .

Deform the metric of $S^p \times D^q$ to have a product metric near the boundary $S^p \times (-1, 1) \times S^{q-1}$ so that it can be patched with $M'_{(-2,6]}$. The resulting manifold is homeomorphic to M. Since (E, ∇) is trivial and flat on $S^p \times (4, 6] \times S^{q-1} \subset (M'_{(-2,6]} \cup S^p \times D^q)$,it can be extended on $S^p \times D^q$ trivially.

Let X be $M^\sharp \setminus M'$ and let Y be $M \setminus M'$. They are homeomorphic to $D^{p+1} \times S^{q-1}$ and $S^p \times D^q$ respectively. Glue X and $(-Y)$ together to compose a Riemannian manifold homeomorphic to S^n where $(-Y)$ is the orientation reversed Y. Remark that $(f^*E_0, f^*\nabla_0)$ on X and (E, ∇) on $(-Y)$ can be joined smoothly. Hence they define a Hermitian vextor bundle equipped with a compatible connection (E, ∇) with a small curvature $\|R\| < \varepsilon$ on $X \cup (-Y)$.

Since K-area(M) = K-area$(M' \cup Y) < \infty$ and K-area$(X \cup (-Y)) < \infty$, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any polynomial p,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{M' \cup Y} p(c_1(E), c_2(E), \cdots) = 0 \\ &\int_{X \cup (-Y)} p(c_1(E), c_2(E), \cdots) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{M^\sharp} p(c_1(E_0), c_2(E_0), \cdots) \\ = &\int_{M' \cup X} p(c_1(f^*E_0), c_2(f^*E_0), \cdots) \\ = &\int_{M' \cup Y} p(c_1(E), c_2(E), \cdots) + \int_{X \cup (-Y)} p(c_1(E), c_2(E), \cdots) \\ = &\ 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

which implies K-area$(M^\sharp) < \frac{1}{\delta} < \infty$. □

Remark 2.6. *Notice that surgery is an invertible operator. Let $M^\sharp$ be a Riemannian manifold obtained from M by p-surgery. Then M is obtained by performing $(q-1)$-surgery to $M^\sharp$. $M = (M^\sharp \setminus D^{p+1} \times S^{q-1}) \cup (S^p \times D^q)$. So if both $p \neq 1$ and $q - 1 \neq 1$ are satisfied, then* K-area$(M^\sharp) = \infty$ *iff* K-area$(M) = \infty$.

proof of corollary 0.3. By lemma 0.4, K-area$(M_1 \sharp M_2) < \infty$ implies K-area$(M_1) < \infty$ and K-area$(M_2) < \infty$. Spose that both K-area(M_1) and K-area(M_2) are finite. Remark that the K-area of the disjoint union K-area$(M_1 \sqcup M_2)$ is equal to $\max\{\text{K-area}(M_1), \text{K-area}(M_2)\}$. Then we can apply the case of $p = 0$ of the preceding theorem 0.2 to conclude K-area$(M_1 \sharp M_2) < \infty$. □

Notice that we did not assume that M is compact in theorem 0.2 and so we can "localize" K-area in the following sense.

Corollary 2.7. *Let* M_∞ *is an oriented even dimensional Riemannian manifold with a cylindrical end* $(0,\infty)\times S^{n-1}$ *and suppose that* $M_0 := M_\infty \setminus ((0,\infty)\times S^{n-1})$ *is compact. Let* M *be a compact manifold obtained by sewing a disk* D^n *on* M_0*.* $\text{K-area}(M_\infty)=\infty$ *if and only if* $\text{K-area}(M)=\infty$*.*

References

[Gr-La 80] M.Gromov - H.B.Lawson, *the classification of simply connnected manifolds of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. **111**(1980) 423-434.

[Gr96] M.Gromov, *Positive curvature, macroscopic dimension, spectral gaps and higher signatures*, Birkhauser (1996).

[Gr-La83] M.Gromov - H.B.Lawson, *Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **58**(1983)83-196.

Address
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University
Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, JAPAN
fukumoto@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp